PROCEEDING

1988 WIND EROSION CONFERENCE



SPONSORED BY Texas Tech University Soil Conservation Service Monsanto

Γ

Γ

Γ

Г

Γ

Γ

THE HOLIDAY INN CIVIC CENTER LUBBOCK, TEXAS APRIL 11 - 13, 1988

PREDICTION OF SORGHUM CANOPY STRUCTURE FOR WIND EROSION MODELING

by

D. V. Armbrust USDA, ARS, Wind Erosion Research Unit Room 105-B, East Waters Hall Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas 666506

ABSTRACT

The crop growth submodel of the new Wind Erosion Research Model will need to predict accurately not only total dry weight, but information on the canopy structure. Equations have been developed that estimate the leaf area, stem area, plant height, stem length, and canopy cover for field-grown grain sorghum plants using total dry weight. The equations are all of the natural growth function type. Leaf and stem area distributions with height relationships also were developed.

INTRODUCTION

Computer programs that simulate plant growth range from the relatively simple, such as SUCROS (van Keulen et al., 1982), to the extremely complex process - orientated SIMCOTT II (McKinion et al., 1975) and from the universal models that simulate all plants, as used in EPIC (Williams et al., 1984), to models that simulate only one particular species (Arkin et al., 1976) or only a specific plant part (Hoogenboon and Huck, 1986). The one characteristic that all these models have in common is that the main output is dry weight of the biomass produced. While dry weight is required for some submodels in the new Wind Erosion Research Model (WERM), information is also required on the structure of the plant canopy.

Canopy structure determines the aerodynamic roughness of the canopy, which determines the friction velocity at the top of the canopy. The distribution of leaf and stem area with height is needed to determine the depletion of the friction velocity through the canopy and, thus, the velocity near the soil surface. Distinguishing between stem and leaf area is necessary because leaves tend to streamline with the wind and have a drag coefficient (C_d) of about 0.1, while stems remain rigid and have a C_d of about 1.0. On a unit area basis, stems are about ten times more effective than leaves in depleting the friction velocity.

This paper describes the prediction of the canopy structure of grain sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ORO G grain sorghum was grown in Big Spring, Texas, during 1986 and Golden Acres TE-Dinero in Big Spring and Manhattan, Kansas, in 1987. Thirty plants were sampled every seven days from planting to maturity. Normal tillage and fertility practices were used. Measurements taken were:

- 1. Plant height at top leaf curl, top leaf collar, plant highest point
- 2. Length and width of head
- 3. Fresh and dry weight of head
- 4. Length and diameter of peduncle, above the top leaf collar and bottom of head
- 5. Stem diameter at base and at top leaf collar, including sheath
- 6. Canopy cover by the meter stick method (Adams and Arkin, 1977)
- 7. Stems and leaves were divided into one-fifth of height to the top leaf curl and leaf area, fresh and dry weight of leaves and stems in each fifth of the plant.

Stem silhouette area was calculated with the following equation:

(BD+TD)/2*TLCH

where BD - diameter at the stem base, TD - diameter at the top leaf collar, and TLCH - height at the top leaf collar. Estimation equations were developed using Manhattan data and then applied to data collected at Big Spring. Equations were developed using only the first eight harvests because canopy cover reached maximum at the sixth harvest and it was assumed that no wind erosion could occur after the soil surface was protected.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because the plant growth model selected to be the core of the CROP submodel of WERM will simulate daily biomass production, total biomass production was used in developing prediction equations to simulate grain sorghum canopy structure, i.e. canopy cover, plant height, leaf and stem area, and their distribution with height. All equations best fit the natural growth function equation

$$Y = a(1 - e^{bX})$$

where Y = parameter to be estimated, X = total dry weight, a = maximum value of Y, and b = rate at which Y approaches "a." Estimation equations developed from the Manhattan data for the eight harvests are given in Table 1. Linear regression coefficients (\mathbb{R}^2) of the estimated values vs. measured values for Manhattan and Big Spring for the 30 - plant averages of eight harvests and for each individual plant are given in Table 2. Values for canopy cover at Big Spring were not available for analysis.

Parameter	Equation	aanpled every an	atev ateble
Leaf Area (cm ²)	$a(1 - e^{bX*})$	4250	0359
Stem Area (cm ²)	a(1 - e ^{bX})	85	0631
Stem Length (cm)	$a(1 - e^{bX})$	50	0470
Plant Height (cm)	$a(1 - e^{bX})$	110	1178
Canopy Cover (%)	a(1 - e ^{bX})	91	1649

Table 1. Estimation equations developed from Manhattan grain sorghum data using averages of 30 plants.

*X - Total Dry Weight (g)

Stam all haustta area vas calculated with the Ioliowing squares

HJJT*S\(GT+GS)

where BD - disaster at the stam base. TD - diameter at the top test collar, and TLCH - height at the top leaf collar. Estimation equation were developed using Hachatten dats and then applied to data collected at Big Spring. Equations were developed using only the first eight harvests because canopy cover reached maximum at the sixth hervest and it was assumed that no wind ereston could occur after the soil surface was protected.

DEGULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because the plant growth model selected to be the core of the lead submodel of WERM will simulate daily biomass production, total biomass production was used in developing prediction equations to simulate grain sorghum canopy structure, i.a. canopy cover, plant height, leaf and stem area, and their distribution with height. All equations hast did the morural erowth function equation

Y - 2(1 - 2")

where Y - parametar to be estimated, X - total dry weight, a minimum value of Y, and b - rate at which Y approaches "a." Estimation equations developed from the Manhattan data for the sight harvests are given in Table 1. Minear regression coefficients (R^{*}) of the estimated values vs. measured values for Menhattan and Big Spring for the 10 plant averages of eight harvests and for each individual plant are given in Table 2. Values for campy cover at Big Spring vere not undividual for everysts.

values estimated Tion coefficients of observed vs. estimating Spring grain sorghum plants. Linear regression Manhattan and Big 3 Table

O theen 40 to 60

	Manhat	tan	nt nt O.	Big S	pring	
	198	7	1	986	15	87
Parameter	rage Each	I Plant*	Average 1	Each Plant	Average 1	Sach Plant
Leaf Area (cm ²) .99	923	.9374	.9932	.9257	.9115	.8782
Stem Area (cm ²) .97	731	.8704	.9716	.8916	.9652	.9028
Stem Length (cm) .88	887	.8177	.9606	.8897	.9544	.8935
Plant Height (cm) .97	772	.9378	.9120	1606.	.9629	.9136

Judging by the coefficients of determination, the equations developed at Manhattan fit the Big Spring data very well, even though these data include two years and two different grain sorghum hybrids grown under a different environment.

Leaf and stem area distributions, expressed as a percentage of the total area, are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Leaf area distribution is triangular, with the maximum leaf area between 40 to 60 percent of the total height of the plant (Figure 1). This distribution was constant regardless of the age of the plant. Stem area is in the bottom 80 percent of the plant height (Figure 2).

REFERENCES

Adams, J. E. and G. F. Arkin. 1977. A Light Interception Method for Measuring Row Crop Ground Cover. <u>Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.</u> 41:789-792.

Arkin, G. F., R. L. Vanderlip, and J. T. Ritchie. 1976. A dynamic grain sorghum growth model. <u>Transactions of the ASAE</u> 19:622-626, 630.

Hoogenboon, G. and M. G. Huck. 1986. ROOTSIMU V4.0 A dynamic simulation of root growth, water uptake, and biomass partitioning in a soil-plant-atmosphere continuum: update and documentation. Ala. Agri. Exp. Sta. Agron. and Soils Dept. Ser. No.109 84 pages.

McKinion, J. M., D. N. Baker, J. D. Hesketh, and J. W. Jones. 1975. Part 4 - SIMCOT II: a simulation of cotton growth and yield. p. 27-82. In: Computer simulation of a cotton production system. ARS-S-52.

van Keulen, H., F. W. T. Penning de Vries, and E. M. Drees. 1982. A summary model for crop growth. p. 87-97. <u>In</u> F. W. T. Penning de Vries and H. H. van Laar (ed.) Simulation of plant growth and crop production. Simulation Monographs, Wageningen, Netherlands.

Williams, J. R., C. A. Jones, and P. T. Dyke. 1984. A modeling approach to determining the relationship between erosion and soil productivity. <u>Transactions of the ASAE</u> 27:129-144.





A company of

1

NORMALIZED HEIGHT

164